Multiphoton Fluorescence Light Microscopy

Konstantinos Palikaras, *Institute of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, Foundation for Research and Technology-Hellas, and Medical School, University of Crete, Heraklion, Crete, Greece*

Nektarios Tavernarakis, *Institute of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, Foundation for Research and Technology-Hellas, and Medical School, University of Crete, Heraklion, Crete, Greece*

Based in part on the previous version of this eLS article 'Two-photon Fluorescence Light Microscopy' (2002) by Peter TC So.

Multiphoton fluorescence microscopy is a powerful imaging technique that depends on complex quantum mechanical interactions between photons and matter for fluorophore excitation. In conventional fluorescence microscopy, a fluorescent molecule is pumped to an excited state by absorbing a single photon. The molecule subsequently falls back to its ground state by emitting a less energetic photon. This is a linear process of absorbing and emitting energy in the form of single photons. By contrast, multiphoton microscopy is based on nonlinear interactions between light and matter, whereby multiple photons are absorbed to bring single fluorophore molecules to an excited state. Two-photon fluorescence microscopy is the most commonly used multiphoton imaging technique. In two-photon microscopy, the fluorescent molecule absorbs two photons simultaneously in a single event, and their combined energies provoke the electronic transition of the molecule to the excited state. Advantages of two-photon fluorescence, compared to typical single-photon epifluorescence microscopy, include reduced autofluorescence, deeper tissue penetration, inherent confocality and three-dimensional (3D) imaging, as well as, minimised photobleaching and photodamage. Thus, two-photon microscopy facilitates optical sectioning of thick biological specimens *in vivo*, which would not be possible with conventional imaging techniques. Recent advances in fluorescence microscopy have expanded the application spectrum and usability of multiphoton imaging, which has become an important and versatile tool in modern biomedical research.

Introduction

The invention of two-photon fluorescence light microscopy by Denk *et al.* (1990) revolutionised three-dimensional (3D) *in vivo* imaging of cells and tissues. As shown in **Table 1**, progress in the development of two-photon microscopy, combined with other technological breakthroughs in past decades has rendered two-photon imaging methodologies accessible to the scientific community (Phan and Bullen, 2010). The theoretical basis of two-photon excitation was established by Goeppert-Mayer (1931), and this photophysical effect was verified experimentally by Kaiser and Garret in 1963. Typical fluorescence requires a single photon of the appropriate energy to interact with a fluorophore (a molecule that fluoresces). The photon is absorbed and its energy causes the transition of the fluorophore to an excited electronic state. This higher electronic state is unstable and the molecule, within a short period of time (10^{-8}–10^{-9} sec), returns to its ground state by emitting a new photon, which has less energy than the exciting photon. This excitation–emission process typically requires photons in the ultraviolet or blue/green spectral range. In two-photon excitation a fluorophore is excited by the simultaneous absorption of two photons (multiphoton absorption) (**Figure 1**). Each of these two photons has about half of the energy that is normally required to excite the molecule from its ground state. This process typically requires photons in the infra-red spectral range. Since two-photon excitation depends on the simultaneous absorption of two infra-red photons, the probability of two-photon absorption by a fluorescent molecule is a quadratic function of the excitation radiance. Under sufficiently intense excitation, three- and higher-photon excitation is also possible and deep UV microscopy based on these processes has been developed. **See also**: The Development of Fluorescence Microscopy

Table 1 Milestones relevant to the development of two-photon microscopy

Year	Advance
1929	Maria Goppert predicts two-photon excitation
1962	Osamu Shimomura discovers aquoerin
1978	Thomas Cremer and Christoph Cremer develop the laser scanning confocal microscope
1983	Agard and Sedat develop deconvolution algorithms for image restoration and elimination of out-of-focus fluorescence
1990	Denk and co-workers develop the two-photon microscope
1991	Single-box Ti:Sapphire laser is introduced for two-photon excitation
1994	Martin Chalfie uses the Green Fluorescent Protein (GFP) as a genetic marker
1993	Bacskai and Tsien use FRET to resolve spatially dynamics of camp and protein kinase A subunits in *Aplysia* sensory neurons
1995	Tsien and colleagues engineer enhanced GFP (eGFP)
1996	Bio-Rad introduces the first commercial two-photon microscope
1996	Tsien and colleagues develop GFP variants: eCFP and eYFP
1999	Lukyanov and colleagues clone dsRed
2001	Denk and co-workers develop a miniature head-mounted two-photon microscope
2002	Miyawaki and colleagues clone Kaede, a green fluorescent protein that can be photoconverted to emit in the red upon exposure to UV light
2002	Tsien and colleagues generate the monomeric mRFP1 variant of dsRed
2004	Tsien and colleagues develop improved monomeric (mCherry) and tandem (tdTomato) variants of dsRed
2008	Gu and co-workers describe the first hand-held two-photon microendoscope
2009	Tsien and colleagues develop infra-red fluorescent dyes derived from bacterial phytochromes

Adapted from Phan and Bullen, 2010; Agard and Sedat, 1983; Bacskai *et al.*, 1993; Goppert, 1929.

Figure 1 Jablonski diagram of one-photon (a) and two-photon (b) excitation, which occurs as fluorophores are excited from the ground state to the first electronic states. One-photon excitation occurs through the absorption of a single photon. Two-photon excitation occurs through the absorption of two lower-energy photons via short-lived intermediate states. After either excitation process, the fluorophore relaxes to the lowest energy level of the first excited electronic states via vibrational processes. The subsequent fluorescence emission process for both relaxation modes is the same.

Design of a Multiphoton Microscope

Although the possibility of nonlinear excitation was first documented in 1978 (Sheppard and Kompfner, 1978), two-photon microscopy was not practically demonstrated until 1990 (Denk *et al.*, 1990). These early studies indicated that two-photon excitation processes could be exploited for 3D imaging. Indeed, inherent optical sectioning (confocality) is one of the most important properties of two-photon microscopes equipped with high numerical aperture objective lenses. In single-photon excitation of spatially uniform samples, fluorescence signals are generated equally from each *z*-section above and below the focal plane. By contrast, in two-photon excitation, over 80% of the total fluorescence signal is confined to the focal point

region, approximately 1 μm thick. This sharp depth discrimination is the result of the inverse quadratic dependence of excitation probability on the spatial distribution of the excitation radiance. Appreciable two-photon fluorescence occurs only at the objective lens focal volume, where photon density is high; negligible fluorescence is excited outside of this volume (**Figure 2a**, **b**). The typical two-photon excitation point spread function when 960 nm excitation light is focused by a 1.25 NA objective has a full width at half-maximum of 0.3 μm in the radial direction and 0.9 μm in the axial direction (**Figure 2c**).

Two-photon excitation efficiency is maximised when laser light is focused to a diffraction-limited volume. **Figure 3** shows a typical two-photon microscope design. A critical component in a two-photon microscope is its light source; a


(a)

(b)

(c)

Figure 2 A schematic representation of the localisation of two-photon excitation. (a) Infrared light (blue arrow) is focused by an objective lens and fluorescence (red arrow) occurs only at the focal volume. (b) A detailed excitation profile of the two-photon excitation volume. The full width at half-maximum of the excitation profile is 0.3 μm along the radial direction (left) and is 0.9 μm along the longitudinal direction (right) at a laser wavelength of 960 nm. (c) A demonstration of the localisation of two-photon excitation volume. Fluorescein solution is excited by one-photon excitation (blue arrow) via a 0.1 numerical aperture objective; fluorescence excitation is observed throughout the path of the laser beam. For two-photon excitation using a second objective with the same numerical aperture (red arrow), fluorescence excitation occurs only from a 3D localised spot.

Figure 3 A schematic drawing of typical components in a two-photon microscope. This system typically consists of a high-peak-power pulsed laser, a high-throughput scanning microscope and high-sensitivity detection circuitry.

high-radiance light source on the order of 10^{10}–10^{12} W cm^{-2} is required for efficient excitation. Two-photon microscopy utilising continuous-wave lasers has been demonstrated. Adequate radiance level can in principle be achieved by focusing light from a 1 W continuous-wave laser to a 10^{-9} cm^2 diffraction-limited focal volume. However, the high average laser power is a concern for pigmented biological samples with appreciable one-photon absorption. To offset considerable specimen photo-damage, high repetition rate (100 MHz), ultra-fast (femtosecond or picosecond pulse widths) lasers, such as titanium-sapphire and Nd:YLF lasers, are the most widely used light sources in modern implementations of multiphoton microscopy. The higher peak power and the lower duty cycle of these lasers minimise average power deposition in biological samples, while maximising two-photon excitation efficiency.

Figure 3 shows a laser excitation beam directed into the microscope via an epiluminescence light path. The excitation light is reflected by a dichroic mirror to the microscope objective and is focused in the specimen. Two-photon induced fluorescence is generated at the diffraction-limited volume. Images are constructed by raster scanning the fluorescent volume in three dimensions using a galvanometer-driven x–y scanner and a piezo-objective z-driver. Emission signals are collected by the same objective and transmitted through a dichroic mirror along

the emission path (epifluorescence). An additional barrier filter is also required to further attenuate scattered excitation light. High-sensitivity detection electronics, such as single-photon counting circuitry, are used to ensure maximal detection efficiency and signal dynamic range.

Fluorophores for Multiphoton Microscopy

One-photon and two-photon excitation are fundamentally different quantum-mechanical processes and obey very different selection rules. Thus two-photon absorption spectra are not direct derivatives of the respective one-photon spectra. As a result, the two-photon excitation spectrum of a fluorophore, scaled to half the wavelength is typically not equivalent to its one-photon excitation spectrum. Ideally, wavelength scans are performed to derive two-photon absorption spectra for each fluorescent dye or protein and determine the optimal excitation wavelength for maximum brightness (Dickinson *et al.*, 2003). A wide range of fluorescent proteins have been systemically characterised for their two-photon absorption properties (Xu *et al.*, 1996; Drobizhev *et al.*, 2009; Tillo *et al.*, 2010; Drobizhev *et al.*, 2011). The emission spectrum of a fluorophore, in the absence of ground-state heterogeneity, is independent of the excitation mechanism, since the

molecule relaxes to the same excited state through vibrational mechanisms before emission. Therefore, fluorophore emission spectra remain essentially unchanged between one- and two-photon excitation modalities.

Technological developments in multiphoton microscopy have generated considerable interest in the development and characterisation of new fluorophores with properties that would allow harnessing the powers of new imaging modalities. Recently, infra-red fluorescent proteins derived from bacterial phytochromes were described (Shu *et al.*, 2009). The use of such fluorescent proteins increases the capacity for deeper tissue penetration because these proteins both absorb and emit wavelengths that are less affected by light scattering and tissue opacity. Moreover, photoactivatable fluorescent proteins markers such as Kaede (Ando *et al.*, 2002), eosFP (Wiedenmann *et al.*, 2004), Dendra (Gurskaya *et al.*, 2006) and kikume Green–Red (kikGR; Habuchi *et al.*, 2008) have been developed that shift from green to red fluorescence upon exposure to UV light. This type of optical marker can be used for single-cell marking and tracking for long periods of time (Hatta *et al.*, 2006; Kohli *et al.*, 2011). **See also**: Green Fluorescent Protein (GFP)

In addition to fluorescent proteins, the use of small organic fluorescent molecules, coupled with multiphoton imaging, is becoming indispensable in modern biomedical research. Such fluorescent probes can provide information about the localisation and quantity of biomolecules of interest. The advantage of these probes over the fluorescent proteins is that they can be used directly with biological specimens without the need of prior genetic engineering. New fluorescent probes with improved properties are becoming available, designed for a variety of target biomolecules. For example, there is a variety of fluorescent probes that can be used for the detection of lipid rafts (Kim *et al.*, 2007a, b, c), various metal ions such as Ca^{2+} (Grynkiewicz *et al.*, 1985; Takahashi *et al.*, 1999; Kim *et al.*, 2007a, b, c), Mg^{2+} (Kim *et al.*, 2007a, b, c), Zn^{2+} (Zeng *et al.*, 2006) and other analytes including reactive oxygen species (ROS; Soh, 2006), reactive nitrogen species (RNS; Gomes *et al.*, 2006), anions (O'Neil and Smith, 2006) and saccharides (Cao and Heagy, 2004). Despite the fact that numerous probes have been developed so far, none of them is ideal for all applications. The next generation fluorescent probes are anticipated to exhibit increased photostability, brightness and a wide range of defined intracellular localisation patterns. **See also**: Fluorescent Analogues in Biological Research; Fluorescent Probes Used for Measuring Intracellular Calcium

Comparison of Conventional, Confocal and Multiphoton Microscopy

Conventional light microscopy is an important tool in the field of biology. Brightfield and darkfield microscopy are some of the simplest microscopy techniques. In brightfield microscopy the sample is illuminated by white light and contrast is obtained by the differential absorbance of the transmitted light. The simplicity of brightfield microscopy makes it a very popular imaging method. In darkfield microscopy, while the whole sample is illuminated by light, transmitted light is rejected and only scattered light is collected by the objective lenses. This microscopy technique can be used to enhance the contrast in unstained and live biological samples. Conventional microscopy approaches are limited by the scattering and shallow penetration of light, allowing observation of only relatively thin samples. Thus, ability to resolve microscopic structures in optically thick samples is limited because the image at the focal plane is blurred by out-of-focus noise. The invention of confocal microscopy in the 1960s and two-photon microscopy in the 1990s has started to address 3D imaging needs. Confocal microscopy solves the problem of blurring by rejecting signals that come from above and below the focal plane. This is achieved optically, by using a focused scanning laser beam to illuminate the sample and by placing a pinhole aperture in front of the electronic photon detector. **See also**: Confocal Microscopy; Fluorescence Microscopy; Light Microscopy – Brightfield and Darkfield Illumination; Single-Molecule Light Microscopy

Two-photon microscopy has a number of additional advantages. Firstly, in two-photon microscopy light of longer wavelength is used. Near infra-red wavelengths are poorly absorbed and less scattered in biological samples. This allows penetration of the excitation light deeper into the specimen. Therefore, two-photon microscopy permits examination of thick biological samples. Also, the use of infra-red wavelengths reduces photodamage and photobleaching, since photons carry less energy and fluorophores are excited only at the focal plane. This is particularly important when a specific region needs to be imaged repeatedly over a long period of time. Furthermore, because in two-photon microscopy excitation only occurs at the focal plane, confocality is inherent, alleviating the requirement for pinholes and the consequent reduction of sensitivity due to photon loss. Finally, two-photon microscopy can be used to trigger controlled and localised photochemical reactions in a specimen without unwanted, spurious effects outside of the focal plane (Denk *et al.*, 1994). **See also**: Cell Staining: Fluorescent Labelling of the Golgi Apparatus; Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer

Limitations of Multiphoton Microscopy

Despite the potential advantages of two-photon microscopy, some limitations and drawbacks remain to be solved. Compared with confocal microscopy operating at UV or blue-green excitation wavelengths, two-photon microscopy minimises photobleaching and photodamage.

Unlike in confocal microscopy, where the sample is illuminated in its entire volume, photobleaching and photodamage are limited to a sub-femtolitre volume at the focal point. This reduction in photodamage volume results in a dramatic increase in the viability of the biological sample. However, two-photon excitation causes considerable photobleaching at the focal plane. Although, in single-photon microscopy photobleaching depends mainly on the excitation wavelength, in two-photon microscopy photobleaching depends on the photon density at the focal point (Patterson and Piston, 2000; Kalies *et al.*, 2011). Also, in pigmented samples thermal damage is observed at the focal plane because of local heating from absorption of infra-red light at high laser power. In addition, photodamage can be caused by two-photon or higher-photon excitation of endogenous and exogenous fluorophores similar to that of ultraviolet irradiation. Fluorophores may also act as photosensitisers in photooxidative processes. Photoactivation of these fluorophores results in the formation of reactive oxygen species that trigger successive biochemical damage cascades in cells (Konig *et al.*, 1996). Finally, in specific settings, it is possible that near infra-red excitation light may interact linearly with chromophores in the sample. For example, this could happen in plant tissues, where the photosynthetic complex absorbs near infra-red radiation (Ustione and Piston, 2011).

Applications and Possibilities for Two-photon Microscopy

Two-photon microscopy is expected to have an impact in areas such as physiology, neurobiology, embryology and tissue engineering, for which imaging of highly scattering tissue is required. Two-photon microscopy has been used successfully to study the development of model organisms such as *Danio renio* (Yaniv *et al.*, 2006; Carvalho and Heisenberg, 2009; Russek-Blum *et al.*, 2010; Kohli *et al.*, 2011), *Caenorhabditis elegans* (Mohler *et al.*, 1998; Ji *et al.*, 2008; Filippidis *et al.*, 2009) and *Drosophila melanogaster* (Rebollo and Gonzalez, 2010). These are areas where traditional confocal microscopy fails because photodamage substantially reduces the viability of the specimens. The main advantage of two-photon microscopy is its ability to maintain the resolution and contrast deep within scattering tissues. This allows direct visualisation of cells and their network dynamics, *in situ*, while they are maintained embedded in their natural environment. In addition, monitoring of cellular function and responses after systemic manipulations is greatly facilitated (Brustein *et al.*, 2003; Stosiek *et al.*, 2003; Kerr *et al.*, 2005; Niell and Smith, 2005; Ohki *et al.*, 2005; Sullivan *et al.*, 2005). Moreover, *in vivo* two-photon imaging allows studies of structural and functional changes in an organism over long period of time. The development of a miniaturised fibre-optic two-photon microscope has opened new possibilities for *in vivo* imaging of freely moving and behaving animals (Helmchen *et al.*,

2001; Flusberg *et al.*, 2005; Engelbrecht *et al.*, 2008). Clinically, two-photon microscopy may find an application in noninvasive optical biopsy, for which high-speed imaging deep into tissues is required. This prerequisite has already been addressed by video rate two-photon microscopy (Bewersdorf *et al.*, 1998; Lee *et al.*, 2011). **See also**: *Caenorhabditis elegans* as an Experimental Organism; Zebrafish as an Experimental Organism

References

Agard DA and Sedat JW (1983) Three-dimensional architecture of a polytene nucleus. *Nature* **302**: 676–681.

Ando R, Hama H, Yamamoto-Hino M, Mizuno H and Miyawaki A (2002) An optical marker based on the UV-induced green-to-red photoconversion of a fluorescent protein. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* **99**: 12651–12656.

Bacskai BJ, Hochner B, Mahaut-Smith M *et al.* (1993) Spatially resolved dynamics of cAMP and protein kinase A subunits in *Aplysia* sensory neurons. *Science* **260**: 222–226.

Bewersdorf J, Rainer P and Hell SW (1998) Multifocal multiphoton microscopy. *Optics Letters* **23**: 665–667.

Brustein E, Marandi N, Kovalchuk Y, Drapeau P and Konnerth A (2003) "In vivo" monitoring of neuronal network activity in zebrafish by two-photon Ca^{2+} imaging. *Pflugers Archiv: European Journal of Physiology* **446**: 766–773.

Cao H and Heagy MD (2004) Fluorescent chemosensors for carbohydrates: a decade's worth of bright spies for saccharides in review. *Journal of Fluorescence* **14**: 569–584.

Carvalho L and Heisenberg CP (2009) Imaging zebrafish embryos by two-photon excitation time-lapse microscopy. *Methods in Molecular Biology* **546**: 273–287.

Denk W, Delaney KR, Gelperin A *et al.* (1994) Anatomical and functional imaging of neurons using 2-photon laser scanning microscopy. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods* **54**: 151–162.

Denk W, Strickler JH and Webb WW (1990) Two-photon laser scanning fluorescence microscopy. *Science* **248**: 73–76.

Dickinson ME, Simbuerger E, Zimmermann B, Waters CW and Fraser SE (2003) Multiphoton excitation spectra in biological samples. *Journal of Biomedical Optics* **8**: 329–338.

Drobizhev M, Makarov NS, Tillo SE, Hughes TE and Rebane A (2011) Two-photon absorption properties of fluorescent proteins. *Nature Methods* **8**(5): 393–399.

Drobizhev M, Tillo S, Makarov NS, Hughes TE and Rebane A (2009) Absolute two-photon absorption spectra and two-photon brightness of orange and red fluorescent proteins. *Journal of Physical Chemistry B* **113**: 855–859.

Engelbrecht CJ, Johnston RS, Seibel EJ and Helmchen F (2008) Ultra-compact fiber-optic two-photon microscope for functional fluorescence imaging in vivo. *Optics Express* **16**(8): 5556–5564.

Filippidis G, Gualda EJ, Mari M *et al.* (2009) In vivo imaging of cell morphology and cellular processes in *Caenorhabditis elegans*, using non-linear phenomena. *Micron* **40**(8): 876–880.

Flusberg BA, Cocker ED, Piyawattanametha W *et al.* (2005) Fiber-optic fluorescence imaging. *Nature Methods* **2**(12): 941–950.

Goeppert-Mayer M (1931) Uber Elementarakte mit zwei Quantensprungen. *Annals of Physics (Leipzig)* **5**: 273–294.

Gomes A, Fernandes E and Lima JLFC (2006) Use of fluorescence probes for detection of reactive nitrogen species: a review. *Journal of Fluorescence* **16**: 119–139.

Goppert M (1929) Uber die Wahrscheinlichkeit des Zusammenwirkens zweier Lichtquanten in einem Elementarakt. *Naturwissenschaften* **17**: 932.

Grynkiewicz G, Poenie M and Tsien RY (1985) A new generation of Ca^{2+} indicators with greatly improved fluorescence properties. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **260**: 3440–3450.

Gurskaya NG, Verkhusha VV, Shcheglov AS *et al.* (2006) Engineering of a monomeric green-to-red photoactivatable fluorescent protein induced by blue light. *Nature Biotechnology* **24**: 461–465.

Habuchi S, Tsutsui H, Kochaniak AB, Miyawaki A and van Oijen AM (2008) mKikGR, a monomeric photoswitchable fluorescent protein. *PLoS ONE* **3**: e3944.

Hatta K, Tsujii H and Omura T (2006) Cell tracking using a photoconvertible fluorescent protein. *Nature Protocols* **1**: 960–967.

Helmchen F, Fee MS, Tank DW and Denk W (2001) A miniature head-mounted two-photon microscope. High-resolution brain imaging in freely moving animals. *Neuron* **31**(6): 903–912.

Ji N, Magee JC and Betzig E (2008) High-speed, low-photodamage nonlinear imaging using passive pulse splitters. *Nature Methods* **5**(2): 197–202.

Kalies S, Kuetemeyer K and Heisterkamp A (2011) Mechanisms of high order photobleaching and its relationship to intracellular ablation. *Biomedical Optics Express* **2**: 805–816.

Kerr JN, Greenberg D and Helmchen F (2005) Imaging input and output of neocortical networks in vivo. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* **102**: 14063–14068.

Kim HM, Choo H-J, Jung S-Y *et al.* (2007a) A two-photon fluorescent probe for lipid raft imaging: C-laurdan. *ChemBioChem* **8**: 553–559.

Kim HM, Jung C, Kim BR *et al.* (2007b) Environment-sensitive two-photon probe for intracellular free magnesium ion in live tissue. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition (in English)* **46**: 3460–3463.

Kim HM, Kim BR, Hong JH *et al.* (2007c) A two photon fluorescent probe for calcium waves in living tissue. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition (in English)* **46**: 7445–7448.

Kohli V, Rehn K and Sumanas S (2011) Single cell fate mapping in zebrafish. *Journal of Visualized Experiments* **5**(56). pii: 3172, doi: 10.3791/3172.

Konig K, So PTC, Mantulin WW, Tromberg BJ and Gratton E (1996) Two-photon excited lifetime imaging of autofluorescence in cells during UVA and NIR photostress. *Journal of Microscopy* **183**: 197–204.

Lee AM, Wang H, Yu Y *et al.* (2011) In vivo video rate multiphoton microscopy imaging of human skin. *Optics Letter* **36**(15): 2865–2867.

Mohler WA, Simske JS, Williams-Masson EM, Hardin JD and White JG (1998) Dynamics and ultrastructure of developmental cell fusions in the *Caenorhabditis elegans* hypodermis. *Current Biology* **8**: 1087–1090.

Niell CM and Smith SJ (2005) Functional imaging reveals rapid development of visual response properties in the zebrafish tectum. *Neuron* **45**: 941–951.

O'Neil EJ and Smith BD (2006) Anion recognition using dimetallic coordination complexes. *Coordination Chemistry Reviews* **250**: 3068–3080.

Ohki K, Chung S, Ch'ng Y, Kara P and Reid R (2005) Functional imaging with cellular resolution reveals precise micro-architecture in visual cortex. *Nature* **433**: 597–603.

Patterson GH and Piston DW (2000) Photobleaching in two-photon excitation microscopy. *Biophysical Journal* **78**: 2159–2162.

Phan TG and Bullen A (2010) Practical intravital two-photon microscopy for immunological research: faster, brighter, deeper. *Immunology and Cell Biology* **88**: 438–444.

Rebollo E and Gonzalez C (2010) Time-lapse imaging of embryonic neural stem cell division in Drosophila by two-photon microscopy. *Current Protocols in Stem Cell Biology* **13**: 1H.2.1–1H.2.9.

Russek-Blum N, Nabel-Rosen H and Levkowitz G (2010) Two-photon-based photoactivation in live zebrafish embryos. *Journal of Visualized Experiments* (46). pii: 1902, doi: 10.3791/1902.

Sheppard CJR and Kompfner R (1978) Resonant scanning optical microscope. *Applied Optics* **17**: 2879–2882.

Shu X, Royant A, Lin MZ *et al.* (2009) Mammalian expression of infrared fluorescent proteins engineered from a bacterial phytochrome. *Science* **324**: 804–807.

Soh N (2006) Recent advances in fluorescent probes for the detection of reactive oxygen species. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry* **386**: 532–543.

Stosiek C, Garaschuk O, Holthoff K and Konnerth A (2003) *In vivo* two-photon calcium imaging of neuronal networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* **100**: 7319–7324.

Sullivan MR, Nimmerjahn A, Sarkisov DV, Helmchen F and Wang SSH (2005) *In vivo* calcium imaging of circuit activity in cerebellar cortex. *Journal of Neurophysiology* **94**: 1636–1644.

Takahashi A, Camacho P, Lechleiter JD and Herman B (1999) Measurement of intracellular calcium. *Physiological Reviews* **79**: 1089–1125.

Tillo SE, Hughes TE, Makarov NS, Rebane A and Drobizhev M (2010) A new approach to dual-color two-photon microscopy with fluorescent proteins. *BMC Biotechnology* **10**: 6.

Ustione A and Piston DW (2011) A simple introduction to multiphoton microscopy. *Journal of Microscopy* **243**(3): 221–226.

Wiedenmann J, Ivanchenko S, Oswald F *et al.* (2004) EosFP, a fluorescent marker protein with UV-inducible green-to-red fluorescence conversion. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* **101**: 15905–15910.

Xu C, Zipfel W, Shear JB, Williams RM and Webb WW (1996) Multiphoton fluorescence excitation: new spectral windows for biological nonlinear microscopy. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* **93**: 10763–10768.

Yaniv K, Isogai S, Castranova D *et al.* (2006) Live imaging of lymphatic development in the zebrafish. *Nature Medicine* **12**(6): 711–716.

Zeng L, Miller EW, Pralle A, Isacoff EY and Chang CJ (2006) A selective turn-on fluorescent sensor for imaging copper in living cells. *Journal of American Chemical Society* **128**: 10–11.

Further Reading

Biskup C, Zimmer T, Kelbauskas L *et al.* (2007) Multi-dimensional fluorescence lifetime and FRET measurements. *Microscopy Research and Technique* **70**: 442–451.

Campagnola PJ and Loew LM (2003) Second-harmonic imaging microscopy for visualizing biomolecular arrays in cells, tissues and organisms. *Nature Biotechnology* **21**: 1356–1360.

Cox G and Sheppard CJR (2004) Practical limits of resolution in confocal and non-linear microscopy. *Microscopy Research and Technique* **63**: 18–22.

Diaspro A, Bianchini P, Vicidomini G *et al.* (2006) Multiphoton excitation microscopy. *Biomedical Engineering Online* **5**: 36.

Moreaux L, Sandre O, Blanchard-Desce M and Mertz J (2000) Membrane imaging by simultaneous second-harmonic and two-photon microscopy. *Optics Letters* **25**: 320–322.

Scheibe S, Dorostkar MM, Seebacher C *et al.* (2011) 4D in in vivo 2-photon laser scanning fluorescence microscopy with sample motion in 6 degrees of freedom. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods* **200**: 47–53.

Schmolze DB, Standley C, Fogarty KE and Fischer AH (2011) Advances in microscopy techniques. *Archives of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine* **135**: 255–263.

Strachan CJ, Windbergs M and Offerhaus HL (2011) Pharmaceutical applications of non-linear imaging. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics* **417**: 163–172.

Truong TV, Supatto W, Koos DS, Choi JM and Fraser SE (2011) Deep and fast live imaging with two-photon scanned light-sheet microscopy. *Nature Methods* **9**: 757–760.

Zipfel WR, Williams RM and Webb WW (2003) Nonlinear magic: multiphoton microscopy in the biosciences. *Nature Biotechnology* **21**: 1369–1377.